ISC3, Fall 2020 (A22) Computer works report 001

Pierre Lague

September 23, 2022

1 Exercice 1 : Runge phenomenon, cubic splines

In this exercice we consider the function f defined in [-1, 1] by :

$$
f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + 25x^2} \tag{1}
$$

From f we want to generate a dataset made of the couples $(x_i, y_i)_{i=0,\dots,n}$ defined by $x_i = -1 + \frac{2+i}{n}$, $y_i = f(x_i)$. We are looking for the polynomial p_n of degree less than or equal to n such that $p_n(x_i) = f(x)$. For that we use the Lagrange polynomials $L_i(x)$ seen in the course.

1.1 a) Implementing the $poly_interp$ function

Using the Lagrange Polynomial function given in the course, write a

Scilab

function :

```
function y = poly\_interp(x, xi, yi)\frac{1}{2} (xi, yi) \rightarrow dataset
// returns the interpolation value y at point x
...
```
The function is as follow :

```
function y=poly_interp(x, xi, yi)
       y = zeros(x) //initialize y as 0 matrix of size x
       l = length(xi);for i=1:l
          y = y + yi(i) * LagrangePol(x, xi, i);end;
    endfunction
```
After implementing the function we have to write the main part of the script. I've decided to do a $for n = 5:3:15$ loop in order to have 3 interpolation polynomials and to observe the *Runge Unstability Phenomenon* as N increases. The script is as follows :

```
for n=5:3:15
   //data
   xval=linspace(-1,1,200)';
   yi = 0, xi = 0;for i = 1:nxi(i) = (-1 + ((2*i)/n))yi(i) = (1 \cdot / (1+25*xi(i) \cdot ^2))end
   //plotting
   xx_p = xval;yy_p = poly_interp(xx_p, xi, yi)
   plot2d(xx_p, yy_p, rect=[-1,-0.5,1,1.5], n)/(interpolation polynomialplot2d(xi,yi,rect=[-1,-0.5,1,1.5], -1);//interpolation points
end
```

```
xlabel("x");ylabel("y");
title("Polynomial interpolation");
```
The following plot is the result we obtained :

Figure 1: Observing the Runge Unstability Phenomenon as N increases. Lack of convergence is obivous.

1.2 b) Use the Scilab pre-implemented splin() and interp() to interpolate the data by means of cubic splines. Compare with polynomial interpolation.

For this question I used the pre-implemented functions in scilab to see if the interpolation with cubic splines presents the same Unstability phenomenon as interpolation with polynomials.

The code is as follows:

```
//working with built in methods
//creating the points
for n=5:3:15
```

```
yi = 0, xi = 0;for i = 1:nxi(i) = (-1 + ((2*i)/n))
```

```
yi(i) = (1 / (1+25*xi(i).^2))end
   //data
   xval=linspace(-1,1,101)';
   //spline
   d = splin(xi, yi, 'not_a_knot')
   xx_s=xval;
   //interpolation
   yy_s=interp(xx_s,xi,yi,d,"linear");
   plot2d(xi,yi,-1);
   plot2d(xx_s,yy_s,n);
   plot2d(xi,yi,n+3);
end
```

```
xlabel("x");ylabel("y");
title("Spline interpolation");
```
//Cubic spline interpolation applied to the Runge function seem to avoid the //Runge Unstability phenomenon.

//When we put 15 points on the other one, the phenomenon was clearly visible. //Here it's much smoother.

The plot we obtained is the following :

Figure 2: Spline Inerpolation prevents the Unstability Phenomenon from hapenning.

By comparing the two plots, we can see that the Polynomial Interpolation Method shows a lack of convergence very early for $N = 5:3:15$. However the Spline Interpolation Method shows that there is a much smoother convergence when N increases.

2 Exercice 2: Construction of a cubic spline by hand

Since the exercice was done in class, I will put my code and the plot I got after the execution.

//important functions

```
function [r1, r2]=eval_spline(x, z)coeffs = end_spline(z)r1=0, r2=0;
   for i=1:4
```

```
r1 = r1 + \text{coeff}(i) * x.^(i-1)r2 = r2 + \text{coeff}(i+4) * x.^(i-1)end
endfunction
function render_spline(z, arg)
    space = linspace(0.5, 3.5, 200)
    x1 = linspace(0.5, 2, 200)
    x2 = linspace(2, 3.5, 200)
    [r1, ] = eval_spline(x1, z) //not the most optimal way, but it works[-, r2] = eval_spline(x2, z)plot(x1,r1, arg)
    plot(x2,r2, arg)
endfunction
plot([1, 2, 3], [1, 2, 0], 'o')
render_spline(5, '-b')
render_spline(7, '-r')
render_spline(10, '-g')
```
The resulting plot is the following :

Figure 3: Interpolated points using a cubic spline. Multiple values for N.